

30 **Declaration of Competing Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

31

32

33

34

INTRODUCTION

35 Disasters are defined as catastrophic events that can damage homes, cause injury or death
36 to people, displace people from their places of residence, and cause family members to
37 be separated from each other. Disasters include events that exceed the ability of the
38 affected community to respond ¹. Disasters can occur at any time and in any place ².

39 Although disaster education is very important for everyone, it is especially important and
40 necessary for nurses³. Nurses should have information about disasters, make individual
41 and family communication plans, and create the necessary materials for sheltering or
42 evacuation for themselves and their families are among the basic preparation behaviors
43 required. In addition, nurses need to understand their roles and responsibilities within
44 emergency plans and incident command structure. The fact that nurses have self-efficacy
45 in all these behaviors helps nurses to be ready and accessible to care for patients during
46 disaster and emergency events ⁴.

47 Self-efficacy in disaster response is extremely important for aspiring nurses ⁵. The ability
48 of nurses to respond to any disaster event is largely determined by disaster response self-
49 efficacy, that is, their belief in their personal ability to perform certain behaviors related
50 to disaster response ⁶. As an important member of the healthcare team, nurses are
51 expected to actively participate and fulfill their roles in all phases of disaster management
52 and have various roles and responsibilities in the pre-disaster phase (e.g., implementation
53 of hospital disaster plan and identification of risks), disaster phase (providing holistic care
54 to victims, families and communities) and post-disaster phase (recovery and
55 reconstruction activities) ⁷. Thus, nurses must always be ready to respond to disasters and
56 provide effective care to victims/affected populations. ^{7,8}.

57 The fact that nurses have key roles in disaster management, have knowledge about
58 disasters, are prepared for disasters and have high capacity to intervene quickly play an

59 important role in the management of disasters ^{3,9}. Considering the fact that patients in
60 disaster areas need the most critical care, it is of critical importance to ensure that nurses,
61 especially nurses with self-efficacy in disaster, take an active role in the disaster process
62 ^{6,8,10}. Veenema et al. reported that nurses trained in disaster management can assume
63 important roles in the event of disasters and can be more successful in disaster
64 management ¹¹. Initiating interventions to increase nurses' confidence to respond to
65 disasters reveals the need to understand the different factors or variables that may
66 contribute to the development of disaster response self-efficacy among nurses ¹².

67 When the studies in the literature are examined, although there are different measures
68 developed and implemented locally and internationally to increase the disaster
69 preparedness and competence of nurses, available evidence shows that there are
70 deficiencies in disaster management self-efficacy among nurses globally ¹³⁻¹⁶. Being
71 prepared for disasters and having the capacity to respond increases the effectiveness of
72 nurses and improves patient care outcomes. Nurses working in surgical units have to cope
73 with situations that require surgical interventions as well as continuing patient care in
74 emergency situations. Therefore, disaster preparedness and response self-efficacy are
75 vital for them to be able to perform their duties effectively and efficiently. According to
76 Bandura (1997), individuals form self-efficacy beliefs by interpreting information about
77 their own abilities. This information comes from four sources: mastery experiences,
78 vicarious experiences, verbal persuasion, and physiological-emotional states¹⁷. For such
79 reasons, it is thought that self-efficacy can be affected by individual experiences, and this
80 study was conducted to determine the disaster response self-efficacy status and related
81 factors of nurses working in surgical clinics.

82 **METHODS**

83 This study was descriptive and cross-sectional. The universe of the study consisted of
84 surgical nurses who had at least 6 months of hospital work experience and were currently
85 actively working in surgical clinics at one university hospital in Turkey. A power analysis
86 was performed using the G*Power 3.1.9.2 package program to determine the number of
87 nurses to be included in the study. As a result of the applied power analysis, the sample
88 size was determined to be 122 nurses, according to type 1 error: 0.05, type 2 error: 0.05
89 (95% power level) and effect size: 0.3. The sample consisted of 127 surgical nurses who

90 met the inclusion criteria. The inclusion criteria were having at least 6 months of hospital
91 work experience, being currently actively working in surgical clinics (special unit in
92 hospitals where diagnosis, treatment and follow-up of diseases requiring surgical
93 intervention are performed), volunteering to participate in the study and answering the
94 questions completely. Data were collected between June and August 2023 using an online
95 survey system (google forms). "Sociodemographic Data Collection Form" and "Of The
96 Disaster Response Self-Efficacy Scale (DRSES)"¹⁶ were used for data collection. The
97 purpose of the study was explained to the individuals who volunteered to participate in
98 the study and their consent was obtained. The principles of the Declaration of Helsinki
99 were followed in the conduct of the study.

100 **Research questions:**

- 101 • What is the level of disaster response self-efficacy of nurses working in
102 surgical clinics?
- 103 • What are the factors affecting the disaster response self-efficacy of nurses
104 working in surgical clinics?

105 **Sociodemographic Data Collection Form:** It consists of a total of 11 questions about the
106 sociodemographic and professional characteristics of the nurses such as age, gender,
107 educational status, years of working in the profession and clinic, the clinic where they
108 work, weekly working time and working style, and whether they have received disaster
109 training and whether they have disaster experience.

110 **Of The Disaster Response Self-Efficacy Scale:** The Turkish validity and reliability of
111 the scale developed by Li et al. in 2017⁵ was conducted by Koca et al. in 2020¹⁸. The
112 scale is 5-point Likert type and consists of a total of 19 items and 3 sub-dimensions (On-
113 site rescue competence, psychological nursing competence in disaster, quality of the role
114 undertaken in disaster and adaptation competence). The scale is answered as not confident
115 at all (1 point), basically not confident (2 points), somewhat confident (3 points), basically
116 confident (4 points) and fully confident (5 points). The scale score is calculated by
117 summing the answers given to the questions. The mean scores of the scale are classified
118 as high (3.68-5.00), medium (2.34-3.67) and low (1.00-2.33)⁵. A high score on the scale
119 indicates a high level of disaster response self-efficacy. In the Turkish validity and

120 reliability study of the scale, Cronbach's alpha coefficient was found to be 0.96¹⁸. In this
121 study, Cronbach's alpha coefficient was found to be 0.93.

122 **Statistical Analysis**

123 SPSS 26 package program was used to analyze the data obtained from the study.
124 Descriptive data were given as number, percentage, frequency, mean and standard
125 deviation. Due to the normal distribution of the data, independent samples t-test was used
126 for two-group comparisons and one-way ANOVA test was used for comparisons with
127 three or more groups. The relationship between variables was examined by correlation
128 analysis. Cronbach's alpha value of the scale used was calculated. $p < 0.05$ was accepted
129 as significant.

130 **Ethics**

131 Approval permission was obtained from the ethics committee of a university to conduct
132 the study. Permission to use the scale was obtained by e-mail from the authors of the
133 Turkish validity and reliability study of the "Of The Disaster Intervention Self-Efficacy
134 Scale". The purpose and nature of the study were explained to the nurses and their
135 informed consent was obtained by informing them that their participation was voluntary
136 and that they could leave the study at any time.

137 **RESULTS**

138 A total of 127 surgical nurses participated in the study. The mean age of these nurses was
139 38.62 ± 6.693 years and 93.7% (n=119) were female. When the educational status of the
140 participants was examined, 59.8% (n=76) were undergraduate and 32.3% (n=41) were
141 postgraduate. Of the nurses, 75.6% (n=96) had 10 years or more of professional
142 experience and 33.9% (n=43) had 1-5 years of surgical clinic/intensive care experience.
143 While 51.2% (n=65) of the nurses stated that they had not received disaster training
144 before, 49.2% (n=32) of the nurses who stated that they had received disaster training
145 before stated that they received disaster training in in-service trainings. 81.1% (n=103) of
146 the nurses stated that they wanted to receive disaster training. Of the nurses, 72.4% (n=92)
147 had not experienced a disaster on duty before, and 64.6% (n=82) stated that they felt
148 partially competent about disasters (Table 1).

149 In our study, the mean total score of the DRSES was found to be 3.78 ± 0.64 . The mean
150 total score of the sub-dimension of on-site rescue competence was 3.72 ± 0.68 , the mean
151 total score of the sub-dimension of psychological nursing competence in disaster was
152 3.57 ± 0.82 , and the mean total score of the sub-dimension of the quality of the role
153 undertaken in disaster and adaptation competence was 4.16 ± 0.68 (Table 2).

154 Table 3 shows the relationship between socio-demographic characteristics, disaster
155 management, and exposure to disaster and DRSES. It was found to be statistically
156 significant that nurses working in surgical clinics who had previous on-duty disaster
157 experience had higher total scores on the DRSES than those who had no previous on-duty
158 disaster experience ($F=3.381$; $p= 0.001$). It was found that the mean total scores of the
159 nurses who felt adequate about disaster were statistically significantly higher than those
160 who felt partially adequate and those who did not feel adequate ($F= 19,258$; $p=0,000$)
161 (Table 3).

162 The correlation between the mean total score of the Of The Disaster Response Self-
163 Efficacy Scale and age was not statistically significant ($r=0.080$; $p=0.369$) (Table 4).

164

DISCUSSION

165 Nurses have an important position in disaster response and nurses should be prepared to
166 respond to disasters ^{2,3,11}. In this study, we determined the disaster response self-efficacy
167 levels of nurses working in surgical clinics and the effect of sociodemographic
168 characteristics of nurses on their disaster response self-efficacy. As a result of the analysis
169 of the collected data, important results were obtained that may have a direct impact on
170 the education and practice of nurses.

171 The increasing frequency of disasters and emergencies experienced worldwide and in our
172 country in recent years reveals the need to equip nurses with comprehensive knowledge
173 and skills in disaster management ¹⁹. Nurses, who constitute an important part of the
174 healthcare team, can take responsibility in different positions such as planner, policy
175 maker, leader, commander, educator and researcher in disaster management in addition
176 to their interventionist roles in disasters and emergencies ¹¹. In this context, training
177 programs on disaster management can increase the disaster preparedness awareness of
178 individuals and improve their knowledge, skills and behaviors about disaster ^{20,21}. In our

179 study, it was found that a little more than half of the nurses (51.2%) had not received
180 disaster training before and this result was consistent with the results of existing studies
181 ^{12,14}. The majority of the nurses who received disaster training stated that they received
182 disaster training in in-service trainings. Disaster management should be an indispensable
183 component of undergraduate education of nursing students and in-service education of
184 nurses, and evidence-based, competency-oriented and high-quality disaster education
185 content should be created ^{22,23}.

186 In our study, it was found that the majority of nurses (72.4%) had no previous on-duty
187 disaster experience, and this finding was consistent with the results of previous studies.
188 Similarly, studies in the literature reported that the majority of nurses did not experience
189 a disaster ^{8,12}.

190 In our study, more than half of the nurses (64.6%) stated that they felt partially confident
191 (a person has a certain amount of confidence in some matters or situations, but not
192 complete conviction or certainty) about disaster. Similarly, although the importance of
193 nurses' high self-efficacy in disaster response has always been emphasized, nurses report
194 that their belief in their capacity to manage disaster situations is at a moderate level ^{24,25}.
195 This result reveals the necessity of providing the theoretical infrastructure for nurses to
196 improve their self-efficacy in educational programs planned to be developed for nurses.

197 In our study, it was observed that the majority of nurses (81.1%) wanted to receive
198 training on disasters. Similarly, it has been reported in studies in the literature that the
199 majority of nurses want to receive disaster education to improve their disaster skills and
200 preparations ²⁶. The fact that the majority of nurses have not participated in any training
201 or course so far despite the fact that the majority of nurses want to receive training on
202 disaster is consistent with the results of previous studies ^{16,27}. In this context, considering
203 the importance of receiving disaster training as well as the desire of nurses to receive
204 disaster training, it is recommended that trainings should be created especially for the
205 responsibilities of nurses in disasters, nurses should be supported to participate in these
206 trainings and these trainings should be accessible.

207 In studies conducted in different years, it has been reported that nurses are not sufficiently
208 prepared to intervene in emergency or disaster situations, that they do not fully trust
209 themselves, that their level of competence related to disaster management is insufficient,

210 and that their readiness for disaster interventions, especially outside the hospital
211 environment, is weak ^{8,15,16}. In our study, the mean total score of the AMRDS was found
212 to be at a high level. The mean total score of the sub-dimension of on-site rescue
213 competence was found to be high, the mean total score of the sub-dimension of
214 psychological nursing competence in disaster was found to be moderate, and the mean
215 total score of the sub-dimension of the quality of the role undertaken in disaster and
216 adaptation competence was found to be high. Although it was found that nurses' disaster
217 response self-efficacy levels were at medium and high levels in our study, it has been
218 reported in many studies in the literature that nurses do not have the relevant
219 competencies needed in response to recent disasters ^{28,29}. In addition, it has been reported
220 that nurses have moderate (neither too little nor too much; average) level of knowledge,
221 skills and preparedness in disaster management and that they lack knowledge, skills and
222 training in disaster management ^{12,26,29}. In the literature, it has also been reported that
223 emergency and intensive care nurses have higher levels of disaster intervention self-
224 efficacy compared to nurses in other units ^{8,12,30}. In this context, it is thought that the result
225 we obtained in our study was due to the fact that the study was conducted with nurses
226 working in surgical clinics.

227 When we look at the studies in the literature, it is reported that nurses who have
228 participated in disaster-related training or courses have higher levels of competence and
229 preparedness for disaster situations ^{15,28,31,32}. Existing evidence shows that effective
230 disaster preparedness and disaster education can significantly contribute to the
231 development of nurses' disaster response skills as well as disaster recovery skills ^{28,33,34}.
232 In our study, although approximately half of the nurses stated that they received disaster-
233 related training, it was observed that there was no statistically significant difference in
234 disaster-response self-efficacy levels between nurses who received training and those
235 who did not. In contrast to our findings, studies in the literature reported that disaster
236 awareness and knowledge levels of nurses who received training on disaster were high,
237 and disaster response experience and participation in disaster management training
238 positively affected the disaster knowledge, skills and preparation scores of nurses
239 ^{12,18,26,35,36}. In studies conducted with clinical nurses, it was reported that trainings on
240 disaster had positive effects on nurses' preparedness for disasters and their ability to
241 intervene ^{2,37}.

242 Disaster intervention self-efficacy levels of nurses are closely related to their disaster
243 preparedness and competencies. Disaster preparedness of nurses is also closely related to
244 their disaster response experience⁸. In our study, it was found that nurses working in
245 surgical clinics who had previous on-duty disaster experience had higher total scores on
246 the DRSES than those who had no previous on-duty disaster experience ($F=3.381$; $p=$
247 0.001). In addition, it was found that the mean total scores of the nurses who felt adequate
248 about disaster were statistically significantly higher than those who felt partially adequate
249 and those who did not feel adequate ($F= 19,258$; $p=0,000$). Lack of experience during a
250 disaster intervention may cause stress and fear in individuals, but individuals' belief in the
251 adequacy of their preparation for disaster may increase their confidence in disaster
252 intervention^{38,39}. In this context, the result obtained in our study is consistent with the
253 results of previous studies.

254 **LIMITATIONS**

255 The research is limited to 127 nurses working in surgical clinics between June and August
256 2023. The scale used is only intended to measure the disaster response self-efficacy of
257 volunteer nurses.

258 **Implications for Emergency Nursing:**

259 All nurses, not just nurses working in surgical units, need to be prepared for disasters.
260 Education is undoubtedly essential for this readiness. It is very important that trainings
261 are given first in schools in order to raise awareness, and then repeated at regular and
262 frequent intervals in professional life, in line with current evidence.

263 **CONCLUSION**

264 The readiness of nurses working in surgical clinics for emergencies and disasters is
265 affected by the clinic they work in, their disaster training status and their disaster
266 experience. Considering the disasters experienced in our country in recent years, it can
267 be recommended that evidence-based, high-quality and up-to-date training programs be
268 delivered to nurses at periodic intervals in order to increase and maintain their emergency
269 response competencies.

270 **Statement:** During the preparation of this study, the author used DeepL Translate for
271 translation purposes. After using this tool/service, the author reviewed and edited the
272 content as required and took full responsibility for the content of the publication.

273 **Declaration of Competing Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest

274

275 REFERENCES

- 276 1. Akpınar NB, Ceran M. Afetlerle ilgili güncel yaklaşımlar ve afet hemşiresinin rol ve
277 sorumlulukları. *Paramedik ve Acil Sağlık Hizmetleri Dergisi*. 2020;1(1):28-40.
- 278 2. Jang I, Kim JS, Lee J, Seo Y. Educational needs and disaster response readiness: A cross-
279 sectional study of clinical nurses. *Journal of Advanced Nursing*. 2021;77(1):189-197.
- 280 3. Hasan MK, Younos TB, Farid ZI. (2021). Nurses' knowledge, skills and preparedness for
281 disaster management of a Megapolis: Implications for nursing disaster education. *Nurse*
282 *education today*, 107, 105122.
- 283 4. Evans CA, Baumberger-Henry M. Readiness: how prepared are you? *Journal of*
284 *Emergency Nursing*. 2014;40(5):448-452.
- 285 5. Li H-Y, Bi R-X, Zhong Q-L. The development and psychometric testing of a Disaster
286 Response Self-Efficacy Scale among undergraduate nursing students. *Nurse education today*.
287 2017;59:16-20.
- 288 6. Hammad KS, Arbon P, Gebbie K, Hutton A. Why a disaster is not just normal business
289 ramped up: Disaster response among ED nurses. *Australasian Emergency Care*. 2018;21(1):36-
290 41.
- 291 7. Al Thobaity A, Plummer V, Williams B. What are the most common domains of the core
292 competencies of disaster nursing? A scoping review. *International emergency nursing*.
293 2017;31:64-71.
- 294 8. Tzeng W-C, Feng H-P, Cheng W-T, et al. Readiness of hospital nurses for disaster
295 responses in Taiwan: A cross-sectional study. *Nurse education today*. 2016;47:37-42.
- 296 9. Sattar, S. A. L. A., Zahra, N. A. I., & Mohamed, W. M. (2018). The effect of an educational
297 intervention about disaster preparedness on knowledge and attitudes of technical nursing institute
298 intern-nurse students. *American Journal of Nursing*, 7(6), 287-295.
- 299 10. Kılıç N, Şimşek N. The effects of psychological first aid training on disaster preparedness
300 perception and self-efficacy. *Nurse education today*. 2019;83:104203.
- 301 11. Veenema TG, Griffin A, Gable AR, et al. Nurses as leaders in disaster preparedness and
302 response—a call to action. *Journal of Nursing Scholarship*. 2016;48(2):187-200.
- 303 12. Labrague LJ, Kamanyire JK, Achora S, Wesonga R, Malik A, Al Shaqsi S. Predictors of
304 disaster response self-efficacy among nurses in Oman. *International Journal of Disaster Risk*
305 *Reduction*. 2021;61:102300.
- 306 13. Martono M, Satino S, Nursalam N, Efendi F, Bushy A. Indonesian nurses' perception of
307 disaster management preparedness. *Chinese journal of traumatology*. 2019;22(1):41-46.
- 308 14. Rizqillah AF, Suna J. Indonesian emergency nurses' preparedness to respond to disaster:
309 A descriptive survey. *Australasian emergency care*. 2018;21(2):64-68.
- 310 15. Labrague L, Hammad K, Gloe D, et al. Disaster preparedness among nurses: a systematic
311 review of literature. *International nursing review*. 2018;65(1):41-53.
- 312 16. Said NB, Molassiotis A, Chiang VC. Psychological preparedness for disasters among
313 nurses with disaster field experience: An international online survey. *International journal of*
314 *disaster risk reduction*. 2020;46:101533.
- 315 17. Bandura A. *Self-efficacy: The exercise of control*. vol 604. Freeman; 1997.
- 316 18. Koca B, Çağan Ö, Türe A. Validity and reliability study of the Turkish version of the
317 Disaster Response Self-Efficacy Scale in undergraduate nursing students. *Acıbadem Üniversitesi*
318 *Sağlık Bilimleri Dergisi*. 2020;(3):515-521.

- 319 19. Wenji Z, Turale S, Stone TE, Petrini MA. Chinese nurses' relief experiences following two
320 earthquakes: implications for disaster education and policy development. *Nurse education in*
321 *practice*. 2015;15(1):75-81.
- 322 20. Nazli NNNN, Sipon S, Radzi HM. Analysis of training needs in disaster preparedness.
323 *Procedia-Social and Behavioral Sciences*. 2014;140:576-580.
- 324 21. Lee J, Lee E. The effects of disaster training education on the attitudes, preparedness,
325 and competencies in disaster nursing of hospital nurses. *Journal of Korean Academy of*
326 *Community Health Nursing*. 2020;31(4):491-502.
- 327 22. Loke AY, Guo C, Molassiotis A. Development of disaster nursing education and training
328 programs in the past 20 years (2000–2019): A systematic review. *Nurse education today*.
329 2021;99:104809.
- 330 23. Said NB, Chiang VC. The knowledge, skill competencies, and psychological preparedness
331 of nurses for disasters: a systematic review. *International emergency nursing*. 2020;48:100806.
- 332 24. Mousavi M, Majidi A, Shabahang R, Besharat M, Bagheri Sheykhangafshe F, Samiee Z.
333 The prediction of disaster nursing competency based on the self-efficacy and disaster-related
334 experi-ences of nurses. *Journal of Clinical Nursing and Midwifery*. 2020;9(1):582-590.
- 335 25. Jonson C-O, Pettersson J, Rybing J, Nilsson H, Prytz E. Short simulation exercises to
336 improve emergency department nurses' self-efficacy for initial disaster management: Controlled
337 before and after study. *Nurse education today*. 2017;55:20-25.
- 338 26. Hasan MK, Younos TB, Farid ZI. Nurses' knowledge, skills and preparedness for disaster
339 management of a Megapolis: Implications for nursing disaster education. *Nurse education*
340 *today*. 2021;107:105122.
- 341 27. Jeong S, Lee O. Correlations between emergency code awareness and disaster nursing
342 competencies among clinical nurses: A cross-sectional study. *Journal of nursing management*.
343 2020;28(6):1326-1334.
- 344 28. Al Khalailah MA, Bond E, Alasad JA. Jordanian nurses' perceptions of their preparedness
345 for disaster management. *International emergency nursing*. 2012;20(1):14-23.
- 346 29. Younos TB, Hasan MK, Nasreen M. Are nurses ready? Bangladeshi nurses' perceived
347 preparedness for disasters: A mixed-methods approach. *International Journal of Disaster Risk*
348 *Reduction*. 2021;58:102195.
- 349 30. Khairina I, Nelwati N, Maisa EA, Rahman D. Disaster preparedness assessment in
350 emergency department: a cross-sectional study. *Disaster and Emergency Medicine Journal*.
351 2023;8(3):134-140.
- 352 31. Noh J, Oh EG, Kim SS, Jang YS, Chung HS, Lee O. Development and evaluation of a
353 multimodality simulation disaster education and training program for hospital nurses.
354 *International journal of nursing practice*. 2020;26(3):e12810.
- 355 32. Davis AH, Manning J, Germain DS, Hayes S, Pigg C. Implementing disaster simulations for
356 baccalaureate nursing students in the Gulf-Coast region. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing*.
357 2020;43:26-34.
- 358 33. Duong K. Disaster education and training of emergency nurses in South Australia.
359 *Australasian Emergency Nursing Journal*. 2009;12(3):86-92.
- 360 34. Chapman K, Arbon P. Are nurses ready?: Disaster preparedness in the acute setting.
361 *Australasian Emergency Nursing Journal*. 2008;11(3):135-144.
- 362 35. Hisar KM, Yurdakul A. Bir üniversitenin hemşirelik öğrencilerinin afetlerde sağlık
363 hizmetleri ile ilgili bilgilerinin değerlendirilmesi. *Mehmet Akif Ersoy Üniversitesi Sağlık Bilimleri*
364 *Enstitüsü Dergisi*. 2015;3(2):54-65.
- 365 36. Huh SS, Kang HY. Effects of an educational program on disaster nursing competency.
366 *Public health nursing*. 2019;36(1):28-35.

- 367 37. Maeda T, Kotera S, Matsuda N, Edwards GD. Disaster readiness among nurses in Japan:
368 Current status following the Great East Japan earthquake. *International Journal of Nursing*.
369 2016;3(1):15-28.
- 370 38. Ma D, Shi Y, Zhang G, Zhang J. Does theme game-based teaching promote better
371 learning about disaster nursing than scenario simulation: A randomized controlled trial. *Nurse*
372 *education today*. 2021;103:104923.
- 373 39. Kim J, Lee O. Effects of a simulation-based education program for nursing students
374 responding to mass casualty incidents: A pre-post intervention study. *Nurse education today*.
375 2020;85:104297.

376